

MATSUKI CORRESPONDENCE FOR THE AFFINE GRASSMANNIAN

DAVID NADLER

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((t))$ be the field of formal Laurent series, and let $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ be the ring of formal power series. In this paper, we present a version of the Matsuki correspondence for the affine Grassmannian $\text{Gr} = G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ of a connected reductive complex algebraic group G . Our main statement is an anti-isomorphism between the orbit posets of two subgroups of $G(\mathcal{K})$ acting on Gr . The first subgroup is the polynomial loop group $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ of a real form $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ of G ; the second is the loop group $K(\mathcal{K})$ of the complexification K of a maximal compact subgroup K_c of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. The orbit poset itself turns out to be simple to describe.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Matsuki correspondence. We begin by recalling the form of the Matsuki correspondence that is relevant to what follows. Let G be a connected reductive complex algebraic group. Let $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real form of G , and let θ denote the conjugation of G with respect to $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. Choose a Cartan involution δ of G which commutes with θ , and let η denote the composition of θ and δ . The three commuting involutions θ, δ , and η provide a lattice of subgroups

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & G & & \\
 & \nearrow & \uparrow & \nwarrow & \\
 G_{\mathbb{R}} & & G_c & & K \\
 & \nwarrow & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\
 & & K_c & &
 \end{array}$$

where G_c is the fixed points of δ , K is the fixed points of η , and K_c is the fixed points of θ, δ , and η simultaneously. As δ is a Cartan involution, G_c is a maximal compact subgroup of G , and K_c is a maximal compact subgroup of both $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ and K .

Let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the flag variety of G . The groups $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ and K act on $\mathcal{B}$ with finitely many orbits. In general, the set of orbits of a group acting on a space comes with a natural partial ordering: orbits $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ satisfy $\mathcal{O}_1 \leq \mathcal{O}_2$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_1$ is in the closure of $\mathcal{O}_2$. The Matsuki correspondence is an order-reversing isomorphism between the orbit posets of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ and K acting on $\mathcal{B}$.

Theorem 1.1 ([Mat91]). *For each $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}$ in $\mathcal{B}$, there exists a unique K -orbit $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathcal{O}_K$ is a single K_c -orbit. This correspondence provides an order-reversing isomorphism from the poset $G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathcal{B}$ to the poset $K \backslash \mathcal{B}$.*

Example 1.1. *The following lattice of groups is of the type described above*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) & & \\
 & \nearrow & \uparrow & \nwarrow & \\
 \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) & & \mathrm{SU}_2 & & \mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{C}) \\
 & \nwarrow & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\
 & & \mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}) & &
 \end{array}$$

Under the standard identification of the flag variety of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with the complex projective line $\mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits are the upper and lower halfplanes, and the real projective line $\mathbb{RP}^1 = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. The dual $\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -orbits are the points $\{i\}$ and $\{-i\}$, and their complement $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{i, -i\}$. The $\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits that establish the correspondence are the points $\{i\}$ and $\{-i\}$, and the real projective line $\mathbb{RP}^1$.

1.2. Statement of results. Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((t))$ be the field of formal Laurent series, and let $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ be the ring of formal power series. For an algebraic group G , we write $G(\mathcal{K})$ for its group of $\mathcal{K}$ -valued points, and similarly $G(\mathcal{O})$ for its group of $\mathcal{O}$ -valued points. The quotient set $G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ is known to be the $\mathbb{C}$ -points of a (not necessarily reduced) ind-finite type complex algebraic ind-scheme. In this paper, we shall only be interested in the space of $\mathbb{C}$ -points of this ind-scheme equipped with its analytic topology. We call this space the affine Grassmannian of G , and denote it by Gr . It is the direct limit of an increasing union of projective complex algebraic varieties.

The aim of this paper is to present a version of Theorem 1.1 in which the affine Grassmannian Gr plays the role of the flag variety $\mathcal{B}$. The complex algebraic group K is replaced by its group of $\mathcal{K}$ -valued points $K(\mathcal{K})$, and the Lie groups $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ and K_c are replaced by their respective polynomial loop groups $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ and LK_c . These are the subgroups of $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ consisting of polynomial maps $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow G$ which take the unit circle into the respective Lie group. Our main result is the following analogue of the Matsuki correspondence.

Theorem 1.2. *For each $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}$ in Gr , there exists a unique $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbit $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathcal{O}_K$ is a single LK_c -orbit. This correspondence provides an order-reversing isomorphism from the poset $LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \mathrm{Gr}$ to the poset $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}$.*

Example 1.2. *To simplify the discussion, instead of considering the lattice of groups of Example 1.1, we consider its adjoint form*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{C}) & & \\
 & \nearrow & \uparrow & \nwarrow & \\
 \mathrm{SO}_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}) & & \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}) & & \mathrm{O}_2(\mathbb{C}) \\
 & \nwarrow & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\
 & & \mathrm{O}_2(\mathbb{R}) & &
 \end{array}$$

and the corresponding lattice of loop groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathcal{K}) & & \\
 & \nearrow & \uparrow & \nwarrow & \\
 L\mathrm{SO}_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}) & & L\mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}) & & \mathrm{O}_2(\mathcal{K}) \\
 & \nwarrow & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\
 & & L\mathrm{O}_2(\mathbb{R}) & &
 \end{array}$$

The orbit poset $O_2(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}$ is isomorphic to the non-negative integers with the standard ordering. The $O_2(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits in Gr happen to be finite-dimensional and admit a simple description. In the group $\text{SO}_3(\mathbb{C})$, consider the maximal torus $\text{SO}_2(\mathbb{C}) \subset \text{O}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and the maximal unipotent subgroups N^+ and N^- normalized by $\text{SO}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Elements of the group $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, \text{SO}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ may be canonically identified with points of Gr . For each pair of elements $\lambda, \mu \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, \text{SO}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ thought of as a pair of points of Gr , define the subset $\mathcal{O}^{(\lambda, \mu)} \subset \text{Gr}$ to be the intersection of orbits

$$\mathcal{O}^{(\lambda, \mu)} = N^+(\mathcal{K}) \cdot \lambda \cap N^-(\mathcal{K}) \cdot \mu.$$

If $\lambda \neq \mu$, precisely one of the pair of subsets $\mathcal{O}^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{(\mu, \lambda)}$ is non-empty. We may fix an identification of the group $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, \text{SO}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ with the integers so that $\mathcal{O}^{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is nonempty if and only if $\lambda \geq \mu$. Now the $O_2(\mathcal{K})$ -orbit $\mathcal{O}_K^n \subset \text{Gr}$ indexed by a non-negative integer n is the disjoint union

$$\mathcal{O}_K^n = \bigsqcup_{\lambda - \mu = n} \mathcal{O}^{(\lambda, \mu)}.$$

When n is zero, the orbit $\mathcal{O}_K^n$ is isomorphic to the integers, and when n is non-zero, it is isomorphic to the product of the integers, $\text{SO}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$.

The dual $L\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits are infinite-dimensional and we shall not attempt to describe them here.

The $L\text{O}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits that establish the correspondence also are finite-dimensional and admit a simple description. Fix an $\mathbb{R}$ -split maximal torus $T_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \text{SO}_{2,1}(\mathbb{R})$, and let $T \subset \text{SO}_3(\mathbb{C})$ be its complexification. As before, elements of the group $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, T)$ may be canonically identified with points of Gr . For an element $\lambda \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, T)$ thought of as a point of Gr , the orbit

$$\mathcal{O}^\lambda = L\text{O}_2(\mathbb{R}) \cdot \lambda$$

coincides with that for the inverse $-\lambda$. After making any identification of the group $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, T)$ with the integers, we obtain an unambiguous $L\text{O}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit

$$\mathcal{O}_c^n = \mathcal{O}^\lambda = \mathcal{O}^{-\lambda}$$

indexed by the non-negative integer n corresponding to λ or $-\lambda$. These are the $L\text{O}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits that establish the correspondence. When n is zero, the orbit $\mathcal{O}_c^n$ is isomorphic to the integers, and when n is non-zero, it is isomorphic to the product of the integers and $\text{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Next we describe the poset $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}$ in general. Understanding the poset $K \backslash \mathcal{B}$ is a difficult problem relevant to the representation theory of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ [BB81, MUV92]. The poset $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}$ turns out to be easy to describe. To that end, we collect some basic constructions, and while doing so, establish notation used throughout the paper.

Recall that δ is a Cartan involution of G which commutes with the conjugation θ . Fix a δ -stable maximal $\mathbb{R}$ -split torus $S_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$, and a δ -stable maximal torus $T_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$ containing $S_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let S be the complexification of $S_{\mathbb{R}}$, and let T be the complexification of $T_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\Lambda_T = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, T)$ be the lattice of homomorphisms from $\mathbb{C}^\times$ to T , and let $\Lambda_S = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, S)$ be the sublattice of homomorphisms with image in S . We call elements of Λ_T coweights, and elements of Λ_S real coweights.

Fix a minimal parabolic subgroup $P_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$ containing $T_{\mathbb{R}}$, and let P be its complexification. Let $M_{\mathbb{R}} \subset P_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the Levi factor of $P_{\mathbb{R}}$ containing $T_{\mathbb{R}}$, and let $M \subset P$ be its complexification. Fix a Borel subgroup $B \subset G$ containing T and contained in P . Let Λ_T^+ be the semigroup of coweights which are dominant with respect to B , and let $\Lambda_S^+ = \Lambda_S \cap \Lambda_T^+$ be the subsemigroup of real coweights which are dominant. The lattice Λ_T , and its subsets, are naturally posets: for coweights $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_T$, we have $\lambda \leq \mu$ if and only if $\mu - \lambda$ is a non-negative integral linear combination of positive coroots of G .

Recall that η is the involution of G obtained by composing the conjugation θ and the Cartan involution δ . Let X denote the identity component of the subvariety of G on which η acts as inverse. The projection $\pi : G \rightarrow X$ defined by $\pi(g) = \eta(g^{-1})g$ allows us to identify X with the symmetric variety $K \backslash G$. The torus S lies in X and is maximal among the tori of G which lie in X . Consider the diagram

$$\pi_1(G) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \pi_1(X) \xleftarrow{[\cdot]} \Lambda_S^+$$

where the first map is that induced by $\pi : G \rightarrow X$, and the second assigns to a loop its homotopy class.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \Lambda_S^+$ be the inverse image via $[\cdot] : \Lambda_S^+ \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ of the image of $\pi_* : \pi_1(G) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$.

In general, $\mathcal{L}$ is a finite index sub-semigroup of Λ_S^+ , and if K is connected, $\mathcal{L}$ is equal to Λ_S^+ . We order $\mathcal{L}$ by restricting the natural order from the coweights.

Theorem 1.3. *There is a natural isomorphism of posets $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}$.*

One easily observed feature of the poset $K \backslash \mathcal{B}$ is the existence of a unique maximum: if an algebraic group acts on an irreducible variety with finitely many orbits, then there exists a dense orbit. The poset $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}$ does not contain a maximum unless K contains the derived group of G . In contrast, it contains a canonical minimum given by the orbit $K(\mathcal{K}) \cdot 1G(\mathcal{O}) \subset \text{Gr}$ corresponding to $0 \in \mathcal{L}$. (In general, this is not the unique minimum since the poset $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}$ is not necessarily connected.)

We conclude the introduction with some general remarks. First, it is worth mentioning that, strictly speaking, the result proved here is not a direct extension of the Matsuki correspondence formalism to the loop group $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ and the affine Grassmannian Gr . In general, the $K(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ -orbits in Gr are not discretely parameterized. (See Example 1.2 where the group $K(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ is equal to the group K itself.) It is important that we work with the completion $K(\mathcal{K})$. Second, the most enlightening proof of the correspondence of Theorem 1.1 involves the Morse theory of moment maps [MUV92]. Although we have been motivated by this approach, we have not tried to overcome the technical obstacles in the way of making it work in this setting. We hope that the proofs given here have the merit of using other structures which are interesting in their own right. Finally, we mention a relation between the orbits of $K(\mathcal{K})$ and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ in Gr and the orbits of K and $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ in a finite-dimensional flag variety of G . For a dominant coweight $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$, we call the G -orbit $C^\lambda = G \cdot \lambda \subset \text{Gr}$ through λ the core associated to λ . The G -action on C^λ induces an isomorphism of C^λ with the flag variety $F(\lambda) = G/P(\lambda)$, where $P(\lambda)$ is the parabolic subgroup in G which contains the Borel subgroup B , and whose Levi factor is the centralizer of λ . By intersecting the

orbits of $K(\mathcal{K})$ and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ in Gr with the core C^λ , we obtain a decomposition of the flag variety $F(\lambda)$. The orbits of K and $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ in $F(\lambda)$ refine this decomposition.

1.3. Overview of paper. The first two sections following the introduction are preparatory. In Section 2, we recall the necessary background results concerning the affine Grassmannian and loop groups. For example, we recall the fundamental fact that the affine Grassmannian Gr is homeomorphic to the based loop group ΩG_c of based maps $S^1 \rightarrow G_c$ whose Fourier expansions are polynomial. We also review the natural stratifications of $\text{Gr} \simeq \Omega G_c$ and the realizations of the strata as group orbits or via Morse theory. In Section 3, we extend involutions to loop groups and define the resulting loop subgroups needed for the Matsuki correspondence.

In Section 4, we parameterize the $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits in Gr . It is a simple application of the compactification theory of symmetric varieties. The set of $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits in Gr is naturally a subset of the set of $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits in $X(\mathcal{K})$. We consider the quotient G^{ad} of G by its center $Z(G)$, the corresponding symmetric variety X^{ad} , and the wonderful compactification of X^{ad} . We are able to parameterize the $G^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits in $X^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{K})$ in terms of the interactions of elements of $X^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{K})$ with the divisor at infinity of the wonderful compactification. Using the projection $X \rightarrow X^{\text{ad}}$, it is then simple to parameterize the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits in $X(\mathcal{K})$.

In Section 5, we parameterize the $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits in Gr . It is accomplished by elementary methods. There is a natural map from the set of $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits in Gr to the lattice Λ_T^+ . We check directly that the map is injective, and its image is the subset $\mathcal{L} \subset \Lambda_T^+$.

The remainder of the paper is devoted to confirming that the order structures on the orbit posets $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr}$ and $LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr}$ are as asserted. To accomplish this, we relate the orbits to stratifications of the based loop space of the symmetric space $K_c \backslash G_c$. The based loop space of $K_c \backslash G_c$ may be thought of as honestly based loops in $K_c \backslash G_c$, or it may be thought of as paths in G_c from the identity to K_c . We consider two models of the based loop space of $K_c \backslash G_c$ which reflect these two possibilities.

First, let X_c denote the identity component of the subspace of G_c on which the involution η acts as inverse. The projection $\pi : G_c \rightarrow X_c$ defined by $\pi(g) = \eta(g^{-1})g$ allows us to identify X_c with the symmetric space $K_c \backslash G_c$. In Section 6, we consider the based loop space ΩX_c of based maps $S^1 \rightarrow X_c$ whose Fourier expansions are polynomial. We obtain stratifications of ΩX_c by restricting those of $\text{Gr} \simeq \Omega G_c$. Under the projection $\pi : \Omega G_c \rightarrow \Omega X_c$, the orbits of $K(\mathcal{K})$ and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ in $\text{Gr} \simeq \Omega G_c$ are mapped into the strata of ΩX_c . In this way, we obtain useful information about the closure relations among the orbits.

In Section 7, we introduce the real affine Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$. It is the real form of Gr corresponding to the real form $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ of G . (Although we do not use the result, it was first recognized by Quillen that $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is homotopy equivalent to the based loop space of the symmetric space $K_c \backslash G_c$.) As before, we obtain stratifications of $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ by restricting those of Gr . In Sections 8 and 9, we study a family with general fiber Gr and special fiber $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$. It is a real form of the Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannian over a curve. The family enables us to relate the orbits of $K(\mathcal{K})$ and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ in Gr to the stratifications of $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$, and thus to confirm their closure relations are as asserted.

1.4. Acknowledgements. I thank Robert MacPherson for his guidance and support. I thank Jared Anderson for helpful conversations, and a referee for many suggestions. I thank Tom Braden for explaining the details involved in deducing Theorem 9.2 from known results. This work was supported in part by the NSF.

2. BACKGROUND RESULTS

References for the material in this section include [Lus83, PS86, BL94, Gin95].

2.1. The affine Grassmannian Gr. Let G be a connected reductive complex algebraic group. Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ denote the ring of formal power series, and let $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((t))$ denote its fraction field, the field of formal Laurent series. Let $G(\mathcal{K})$ denote the group of $\mathcal{K}$ -valued points of G , and let $G(\mathcal{O})$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{O}$ -valued points. The quotient set $G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ is naturally the set of $\mathbb{C}$ -points of a (not necessarily reduced) ind-finite type complex algebraic ind-scheme. In this paper, we shall only be interested in the space of $\mathbb{C}$ -points of this ind-scheme equipped with its analytic topology. We call this space the affine Grassmannian of G , and denote it by Gr . See Remark 2.1 below for a concrete realization of Gr as the direct limit of an increasing union of projective varieties.

Consider the action of $G(\mathcal{O})$ on Gr by left-multiplication. Each coweight $\lambda \in \Lambda_T$ determines a point $\lambda \in \text{Gr}$ via the inclusion $\Lambda_T \subset G(\mathcal{K})$. We call the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits $S^\lambda = G(\mathcal{O}) \cdot \lambda \subset \text{Gr}$ through the dominant coweights $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$ the strata of Gr . For the following, see [Lus83, Section 11], or [PS86, Theorem (8.6.3) and Proposition (8.6.5)].

Proposition 2.1. *The affine Grassmannian Gr is the disjoint union of strata*

$$\text{Gr} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+} S^\lambda.$$

The stratum S^λ is a smooth quasi-projective variety. Its closure is the disjoint union of strata

$$\overline{S^\lambda} = \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \lambda} S^\mu.$$

Remark 2.1. *The affine Grassmannian Gr is the direct limit of its stratum closures*

$$\text{Gr} = \varinjlim_{\lambda} \overline{S^\lambda}.$$

There is a stratification of Gr dual to the stratification by $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits. Let $\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$ denote the polynomial ring in the variable t^{-1} , and let $G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ denote the group of $\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$ -valued points of G . Consider the action of $G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ on Gr by left-multiplication. We call the $G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ -orbits $T^\lambda = G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]) \cdot \lambda \subset \text{Gr}$ through the dominant coweights $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$ the dual strata of Gr . In general, the dual strata are not finite-dimensional. For the following, see [PS86, Theorem (8.6.3) and Proposition (8.6.5)].

Proposition 2.2. *The affine Grassmannian Gr is the disjoint union of dual strata*

$$\text{Gr} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+} T^\lambda.$$

The closure of the dual stratum T^λ is the disjoint union of dual strata

$$\overline{T^\lambda} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \leq \mu} T^\mu.$$

The intersection of a stratum S^μ with a dual stratum T^λ is nonempty if and only if $\mu \geq \lambda$.

We shall also need the following lemma. In its proof, and throughout this paper, we use the well known fact that the inclusion $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) \hookrightarrow G(\mathcal{K})$ induces a bijection

$$G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])/G(\mathbb{C}[t]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr}$$

(see Theorem 2.1 below), and the group $G(\mathbb{C}[t])$ has the same orbits in Gr as the group $G(\mathcal{O})$.

Lemma 2.1. *The intersection of a stratum S^λ with a dual stratum T^μ is smooth.*

Proof. The quotient set $G(\mathbb{C}((t^{-1}))) / G(\mathbb{C}[t])$ is naturally a pro-smooth scheme which we denote by GR and call the thick Grassmannian of G . As the inclusion $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) \hookrightarrow G(\mathcal{K})$ induces a bijection

$$G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) / G(\mathbb{C}[t]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr},$$

the inclusion $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) \hookrightarrow G(\mathbb{C}((t^{-1})))$ induces an inclusion

$$\text{Gr} \hookrightarrow \text{GR}.$$

As the group $G(\mathbb{C}[t])$ has the same orbits in Gr as the group $G(\mathcal{O})$, to prove the lemma it suffices to prove that the intersections of the orbits of $G(\mathbb{C}[t])$ and $G(\mathbb{C}[[t^{-1}]])$ in GR are smooth. Any such intersection Z is contained in an open subscheme $Y \subset \text{GR}$ such that there is an integer k for which the kernel $G(t^k \mathbb{C}[[t]])$ of the projection $G(\mathbb{C}[[t]]) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{C}[[t]]/(t^k))$ acts freely on Y , the quotient $G(t^k \mathbb{C}[[t]]) \backslash Y$ is smooth, and the natural map $Z \rightarrow G(t^k \mathbb{C}[[t]]) \backslash Y$ is a closed immersion. (See [KT95, Lemma 2.2.1] for a similar result.) Thus the lemma follows from the transversality of the subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{C}[[t^{-1}]])$ and $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{C}[t])$ in the algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{C}((t^{-1})))$. $\square$

For each dominant coweight $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$, we call the intersection $C^\lambda = S^\lambda \cap T^\lambda$ the core associated to λ . Let $P(\lambda)$ be the parabolic subgroup of G which contains the Borel subgroup B , and whose Levi factor is the centralizer of λ . The action of G on Gr preserves the core C^λ , and $P(\lambda)$ is the stabilizer in G of λ .

Proposition 2.3. *For $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$, the core C^λ is isomorphic to the flag variety $G/P(\lambda)$.*

2.2. The based loop group ΩG_c . Let $G_c \subset G$ be a maximal compact subgroup, and let $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$ denote the unit circle. The loop group LG_c is defined to be the subgroup of $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ consisting of polynomial maps $\mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow G$ that take $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$ into $G_c \subset G$. The based loop group ΩG_c is defined to be the subgroup of LG_c consisting of maps that take $1 \in S^1$ to $1 \in G_c$. We endow ΩG_c with the topology induced by the bijection of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 ([PS86], Theorem (8.6.3)). *The inclusion $\Omega G_c \subset G(\mathcal{K})$ induces a bijection $\Omega G_c \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr}$.*

The theorem is equivalent to the statement that each element of $G(\mathcal{K})$ factors uniquely into a product of an element of ΩG_c and an element of $G(\mathcal{O})$. In this paper, we identify the affine Grassmannian Gr and the based loop group ΩG_c as topological spaces, and write ΩG_c or Gr depending on the context.

The choice of a bi-invariant Riemannian metric on G_c provides a natural function on the based loop group ΩG_c : the energy of a loop $\gamma \in \Omega G_c$ is defined by the formula

$$E(\gamma) = \int_{S^1} \|\gamma'\|^2 d\theta.$$

The Morse theory of the energy function is well developed, and best viewed using the identification $\Omega G_c \simeq \text{Gr}$. For each $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, we have the automorphism $t \mapsto z^{-1}t$ of the field $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((t))$ of formal Laurent series. It induces an automorphism of the group $G(\mathcal{K})$ which descends to an automorphism ϕ_z of the affine Grassmannian Gr .

Theorem 2.2 ([PS86], Theorem (8.9.9)). *The cores C^λ are the fixed points of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on Gr given by ϕ_z . The strata S^λ are the ascending spaces*

$$S^\lambda = \{x \in \text{Gr} \mid \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \phi_z(x) \in C^\lambda\},$$

and the dual strata T^λ are the descending spaces

$$T^\lambda = \{x \in \text{Gr} \mid \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \phi_z(x) \in C^\lambda\}.$$

The connection with the energy function E is discussed in [PS86, Section 8.9]. If one is willing to work with a larger class of loops, one can show that E is indeed a Morse function on the based loop space of G_c . There is a metric on the based loop space of G_c such that the gradient flow of E is given by the automorphism ϕ_z , for $z \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Our space ΩG_c then consists of exactly those loops whose upward trajectory exists for all time. We shall have no need for this general theory, but only for the following.

Proposition 2.4. *For any stratum S^λ and dual stratum T^μ , the restriction of the energy function E to their intersection $S^\lambda \cap T^\mu$ has a critical point if and only if $\lambda = \mu$.*

3. INVOLUTIONS OF LOOP GROUPS

As usual let θ be a conjugation of G , and let δ be a Cartan involution of G which commutes with θ . Let η denote the composition of θ and δ . In this section, we extend these involutions from G to appropriate loop groups. All of our loop groups are subgroups of $G(\mathcal{K})$, and so we first describe how to extend involutions to it.

3.1. Extending algebraic involutions. The algebraic involution η induces an involution of the group $G(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ -valued points of G for any $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$, and we denote the induced involution by η as well. The fixed point subgroup of the induced involution is the group $K(\mathcal{A})$. In particular, for the $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((t))$, we have the induced involution η of the group $G(\mathcal{K})$ with fixed point subgroup $K(\mathcal{K})$.

3.2. Extending anti-algebraic involutions. To extend the anti-algebraic involutions θ and δ to $G(\mathcal{A})$ for a $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we need a conjugation c of $\mathcal{A}$. In that case, we define involutions θ and δ of $G(\mathcal{A})$ as follows: if we think of an element $g \in G(\mathcal{A})$ as a map

$$g : \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow G,$$

then θ takes it to the composite map

$$\theta(g) : \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{c} \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{g} G \xrightarrow{\theta} G,$$

and similarly for δ . When the $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra is $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((t))$, we take c to be the standard conjugation whose fixed points are the field $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}((t))$ of real formal Laurent series.

For any subgroup of $G(\mathcal{K})$ which we shall consider, the involutions θ , δ , and η restrict to give involutions of the subgroup. Certain subgroups of $G(\mathcal{K})$ have an additional involution which we describe next.

3.3. Time-reversal. The $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ comes equipped with a natural algebraic involution τ which exchanges t and t^{-1} . This induces an involution of the loop group $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ which we also denote by τ . We write θ^τ and δ^τ for the involutions of $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ obtained by composing θ and δ with τ .

3.4. Subgroup lattices. To keep track of things, we organize the fixed-point subgroups of the involutions into lattices. Recall that in the classical setting, the three commuting involutions θ , δ , and η of G provide a lattice of subgroups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & G & & \\ & \nearrow & \uparrow & \nwarrow & \\ G_{\mathbb{R}} & & G_c & & K \\ & \nwarrow & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ & & K_c & & \end{array}$$

Here $G_{\mathbb{R}}$, G_c and K are the fixed points of θ , δ and η respectively, and K_c is the fixed points of θ , δ and η simultaneously.

The three involutions θ , δ , and η of $G(\mathcal{K})$ provide a lattice of subgroups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & G(\mathcal{K}) & & \\ & \nearrow & \uparrow & \nwarrow & \\ G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}) & & G_c(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}) & & K(\mathcal{K}) \\ & \nwarrow & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ & & K_c(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}) & & \end{array}$$

Here $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}})$, $G_c(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $K(\mathcal{K})$ are the fixed points of θ , δ and η respectively, and $K_c(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is the fixed points of θ , δ and η simultaneously. The groups $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}})$, $G_c(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}})$, and $K_c(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}})$ may be identified with the groups of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -valued points of the respective real algebraic groups. They are the subgroups of $G(\mathcal{K})$ consisting of maps $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow G$ that take the punctured formal real line $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \text{Spec}(\mathcal{K})$ into the respective real algebraic groups.

The three involutions θ^τ , δ^τ , and η of $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ provide a lattice of subgroups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) & & \\
& \nearrow & \uparrow & \nwarrow & \\
LG_{\mathbb{R}} & & LG_c & & K(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) \\
& \nwarrow & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\
& & LK_c & &
\end{array}$$

Here $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$, LG_c and $K(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ are the fixed points of θ^τ , δ^τ and η respectively, and LK_c is the fixed points of θ^τ , δ^τ and η simultaneously. The groups $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$, LG_c and LK_c are the subgroups of $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ consisting of maps $\mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow G$ that take the unit circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$ into the respective real algebraic groups.

Although we shall have use for many of the groups in the above two lattices, it is worth pointing out that the Matsuki correspondence for the affine Grassmannian Gr presented here is a statement about the orbits in Gr of the groups in the following mixture of the two lattices:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & G(\mathcal{K}) & & \\
& \nearrow & \uparrow & \nwarrow & \\
LG_{\mathbb{R}} & & LG_c & & K(\mathcal{K}) \\
& \nwarrow & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\
& & LK_c & &
\end{array}$$

For the aims of this paper, this is the lattice which is the proper analogue of the lattice of the finite-dimensional setting. In general, the $K(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ -orbits in Gr are not discretely parameterized. (See Example 1.2 where the group $K(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ is equal to the group K itself.) It is important that we work with the completion $K(\mathcal{K})$.

3.5. Anti-involutions. In what follows, the involutions often play a less prominent role than their compositions with the inverse map. We write $\tilde{\eta}$ for the anti-involution of $G(\mathcal{K})$ obtained by composing η with the inverse map, and $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$ for the anti-involution of $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ obtained by composing θ^τ with the inverse map. It is straightforward to check that when restricted to the based loop group ΩG_c , the two anti-involutions $\tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$ agree.

4. $K(\mathcal{K})$ -ORBIT PARAMETERIZATION

Recall that we write X for the identity component of the subvariety of G on which η acts as inverse, and the projection $\pi : G \rightarrow X$ defined by $\pi(g) = \eta(g^{-1})g$ induces a G -equivariant isomorphism $K \backslash G \xrightarrow{\sim} X$. The parameterization of the $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits in Gr is a simple application of the compactification theory of symmetric varieties.

4.1. Wonderful compactification. We recall here part of the compactification theory of symmetric varieties. Our reference is [DC87, Section 2]. For the moment, let us assume that the center of G is trivial, or in other words, that G is a semisimple group of adjoint type.

Consider the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_d(\mathfrak{g})$ of d -dimensional subspaces in the adjoint representation $\mathfrak{g}$ where d is the dimension of K . The Lie algebra of K defines a point of $\text{Gr}_d(\mathfrak{g})$ whose stabilizer in G is precisely K . Therefore we may identify the G -orbit

through this point with the symmetric variety X . The closure $Z \subset \text{Gr}_d(\mathfrak{g})$ of this orbit is called the wonderful compactification of X .

Recall that the torus S is a subvariety of X . Let $Y \subset Z$ be the partial compactification of S characterized by the property that for $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \in Y \text{ if and only if } \lambda \in \Lambda_S^+.$$

Let P^u be the unipotent radical of the complexification $P \subset G$ of the minimal parabolic subgroup $P_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem 4.1 ([DC87], Lemma, p. 372). *The action map $P^u \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is an open embedding and its image contains an open nonempty subset of each G -orbit in Z .*

4.2. Loop parameterization. We are now ready to parameterize the $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits in Gr . First we parameterize the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits in $X(\mathcal{K})$. Note that via the inclusion $S \subset X$, we may identify Λ_S^+ with a subset of $X(\mathcal{K})$.

Theorem 4.2. *Each $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit in $X(\mathcal{K})$ contains a unique element of Λ_S^+ .*

Proof. First, assume G is semisimple of adjoint type. Let Z be the wonderful compactification of X . Via the embedding $X \rightarrow Z$, we obtain an injection $X(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{K})$. Since Z is complete, each element $\gamma \in Z(\mathcal{K})$ extends to an element $\bar{\gamma} \in Z(\mathcal{O})$. By Theorem 4.1, the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit through $\bar{\gamma}$ contains an element $\bar{\gamma}' \in Z(\mathcal{O})$ which lies in the image of the action map $P^u \times Y \rightarrow Z$. Therefore we may consider $\bar{\gamma}'$ as an element of $P^u(\mathcal{O}) \times Y(\mathcal{O})$. Acting by an element of $P^u(\mathcal{O})$, we see that the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit through γ contains an element in $Y(\mathcal{O})$. Acting by an element of $T(\mathcal{O})$, we conclude that the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit through γ contains an element $\lambda \in \Lambda_S^+$.

Now for G not necessarily semisimple of adjoint type, we write G^{ad} for the quotient of G by its center $Z(G)$, and S^{ad} for the image of S under the projection $G \rightarrow G^{\text{ad}}$. The involution η descends to G^{ad} , and we write X^{ad} for the resulting symmetric space. Quotienting by the action of $Z(G)$ gives a G -equivariant map $X \rightarrow X^{\text{ad}}$ which restricts to a T -equivariant map $S \rightarrow S^{\text{ad}}$. We have proved above that each $G^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit in $X^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{K})$ contains an element of $\Lambda_{S^{\text{ad}}}^+$. Therefore each $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit in $X(\mathcal{K})$ contains an element of $S(\mathcal{K})$ which projects to an element of $\Lambda_{S^{\text{ad}}}^+$. Since Λ_S^+ is the inverse image of $\Lambda_{S^{\text{ad}}}^+$ under the projection $\Lambda_S \rightarrow \Lambda_{S^{\text{ad}}}$, acting by an element of $T(\mathcal{O})$, we conclude that each $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit in $X(\mathcal{K})$ contains an element of Λ_S^+ .

To prove the uniqueness assertion, consider $\gamma \in X(\mathcal{K})$ as an element of $G(\mathcal{K})$ via the inclusion $X \subset G$. Since the action of $g \in G$ on $x \in X$ is given by $g \cdot x = \eta(g^{-1})xg$, the orbit $G(\mathcal{O}) \cdot \gamma \subset X(\mathcal{K})$ is contained in the double coset $G(\mathcal{O})\gamma G(\mathcal{O}) \subset G(\mathcal{K})$. By Proposition 2.1, this double coset contains a unique element of Λ_T^+ . Thus the element of Λ_S^+ in the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit through γ is unique. $\square$

There is a natural inclusion of the $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits in Gr into the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits in $X(\mathcal{K})$. To identify its image, recall the diagram

$$\pi_1(G) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \pi_1(X) \xleftarrow{[\cdot]} \Lambda_S^+$$

where the first map is that induced by $\pi : G \rightarrow X$, and the second assigns to a loop its homotopy class. Recall that $\mathcal{L} \subset \Lambda_S^+$ is defined to be the inverse image via $[\cdot] : \Lambda_S^+ \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ of the image of $\pi_* : \pi_1(G) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$.

Theorem 4.3. *The image of the natural inclusion $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr} \rightarrow X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ is the subset $\mathcal{L} \subset \Lambda_S^+$.*

Proof. Let $\partial : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_0(K)$ denote the boundary map in the long exact sequence in homotopy of the fibration $\pi : G \rightarrow X$. By exactness, to show $[\lambda] \in \pi_1(X)$ is in the image of π_* is the same as to show $\partial([\lambda]) = [1]$. Consider the map $\delta : X(K) \rightarrow \pi_0(K)$ which assigns to $\gamma \in X(K)$ the class of the pull-back via γ of the K -bundle $\pi : G \rightarrow X$. It clearly has the following properties: (i) the composition

$$G(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\pi} X(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \pi_0(K)$$

takes any $g \in G(K)$ to $[1] \in \pi_0(K)$, (ii) for $g \in G(\mathcal{O})$, $\delta(g \cdot \gamma) = \delta(\gamma)$ since $\pi : G \rightarrow K$ is G -equivariant, and (iii) the restriction of δ to Λ_S^+ agrees with ∂ . It follows immediately that the image of $K(\mathcal{K}) \backslash \text{Gr} \rightarrow X(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ is contained in $\mathcal{L}$. The following lemma then implies the theorem. $\square$

Lemma 4.1. *For each $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists $c \in \Omega G_c$ such that $\pi(c) = \lambda$.*

Proof. We would like to take $c(t)$ to be the loop $\lambda(t^{1/2})$. But if $\lambda(-1) \neq 1$, this will not be a closed loop. To correct for this, we find a homomorphism $k : S^1 \rightarrow K_c$ such that $k(t^{1/2})\lambda(t^{1/2})$ is in ΩG_c . Let $\partial : \pi_1(X_c) \rightarrow \pi_0(K_c)$ denote the boundary map in the long exact sequence in homotopy of the fibration $\pi : G_c \rightarrow X_c$. By definition, $[\lambda] \in \pi_1(X_c)$ is in the image of π_* , and so by exactness, we have $\partial([\lambda]) = [1]$. The path $\lambda(t^{1/2})$ is a lift with which we may calculate ∂ , so $\lambda(-1)$ belongs to the identity component of K_c . Therefore since $\lambda(-1)^2 = 1$, we may define a homomorphism $k : S^1 \rightarrow K_c$ such that $k(-1) = \lambda(-1)$.

We check that $c(t) = k(t^{1/2})\lambda(t^{1/2})$ is in ΩG_c . First realize G_c as a matrix group so that $\lambda(t)$ is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are powers of t . Hence $\lambda(-1)$ is a diagonal matrix with each diagonal entry equal to $+1$ or -1 . Now observe that since $k(t)$ is a homomorphism and $k(-1) = \lambda(-1)$, its image must lie in the centralizer L of $\lambda(-1)$ for all t . Each entry of L is a block diagonal matrix with two blocks, B_+ for the $+1$ block of $\lambda(-1)$ and B_- for the -1 block. The assertion that $c(t)$ is in ΩG_c is equivalent to the assertion $(\dagger)$: the entries of $k(t^{1/2})$ in B_+ are in $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ and the entries in B_- are in $t^{1/2}\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. Choose $\ell \in L$ such that $\ell^{-1}k(t^{1/2})\ell$ is diagonal for all t . Since $k(-1) = \lambda(-1)$, the path $\ell^{-1}k(t^{1/2})\ell$ satisfies $(\dagger)$. Since the entries of ℓ are independent of t , we conclude that $k(t^{1/2})$ satisfies $(\dagger)$ and the lemma is proved. $\square$

5. $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -ORBIT PARAMETERIZATION

The aim of this section is to show that the subset $\mathcal{L} \subset \Lambda_S^+$ also parameterizes the $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits in Gr . We begin by constructing a natural map from the set of $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits in Gr to the set Λ_T^+ . It will then take some work to show that the map is an inclusion with image $\mathcal{L}$. Recall that we write $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$ for the anti-involution of $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ obtained by composing θ^τ with the inverse map.

Given an element $g \in G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$, consider the element $\tilde{\theta}^\tau(g)g \in G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$. By Proposition 2.2, the double coset $G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])\tilde{\theta}^\tau(g)gG(\mathbb{C}[t])$ contains a unique element $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$. Since θ^τ fixes elements of $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ and takes $G(\mathbb{C}[t])$ to $G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$, the element λ only depends on the orbit of g under the action of $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ by left multiplication and $G(\mathbb{C}[t])$ by right multiplication. Therefore λ is an invariant of the $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbit through the point in Gr represented by g . In other words, to each $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbit in Gr , we have associated an element of Λ_T^+ .

Proposition 5.1. *The image of the map $LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr} \rightarrow \Lambda_T^+$ is contained in the subset $\Lambda_S^+ \subset \Lambda_T^+$.*

Proof. We first collect some results on how the anti-involution $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$ acts on the coweight lattice Λ_T . Note that the involution τ and the inverse map coincide when restricted to Λ_T , and so $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$ coincides with the involution induced by the conjugation θ . Therefore the fixed points of $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$ are precisely the real coweights $\Lambda_S \subset \Lambda_T$.

The action of $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$ on Λ_T induces an action on the dominant coweights Λ_T^+ . By definition, it takes a dominant coweight λ to the dominant coweight in the Weyl group orbit through $\tilde{\theta}^\tau(\lambda)$. Although the fixed points of $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$ acting on Λ_T^+ contain the real dominant coweights Λ_S^+ , they also in general contain other dominant coweights. Indeed, the action of $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$ on Λ_T^+ coincides with that of the involution $\tilde{\kappa}^\tau$ for the quasi-split conjugation κ in the same inner class as θ . More precisely, the two involutions acting on Λ_T are related by the formula

$$\tilde{\theta}^\tau = \tilde{\kappa}^\tau \circ w_M$$

where w_M is the longest element of the Weyl group of the complexification $M \subset P$ of the Levi factor $M_{\mathbb{R}} \subset P_{\mathbb{R}}$ of the minimal parabolic subgroup $P_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus an element $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$ is in fact in Λ_S^+ if and only if (i) as an element of Λ_T^+ , it is fixed by $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$, and (ii) as an element of Λ_T , it is fixed by w_M .

Now fix $x \in \text{Gr}$ with representative $gG(\mathbb{C}[t])$, and let $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$ be contained in $G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])\tilde{\theta}^\tau(g)gG(\mathbb{C}[t])$. Clearly $G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])\tilde{\theta}^\tau(g)gG(\mathbb{C}[t])$ is preserved by $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$, and so λ and $\tilde{\theta}^\tau(\lambda)$ are conjugate under the Weyl group of G . Thus by definition, λ is fixed by the action of $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$ on Λ_T^+ . To prove the proposition, it remains to show that λ is fixed by the action of w_M on Λ_T .

Recall that to $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$, we associate the parabolic subgroup $P(\lambda) \subset G$ which contains the Borel subgroup B , and whose Levi factor is the centralizer of λ . Observe that λ is fixed by w_M if and only if $P(\lambda)$ contains the parabolic subgroup P . To show that $P(\lambda)$ contains P , it suffices to find a parabolic subgroup $Q \subset G$ conjugate to $P(\lambda)$ and preserved by the conjugation θ .

Consider the “opposite” affine Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_- = G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])/G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$. By Proposition 2.2, the orbits of the diagonal action of $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ on the product $\text{Gr} \times \text{Gr}_-$ are indexed by Λ_T^+ . To be precise, given a pair $(x, y) \in \text{Gr} \times \text{Gr}_-$ with representative $(gG(\mathbb{C}[t]), hG(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]))$, the diagonal $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ -orbit through it is indexed by the unique $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$ contained in the double coset $G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])h^{-1}gG(\mathbb{C}[t])$. In this case, we say that λ is the relative position of the pair (x, y) . In particular, the relative position of the pair $(x, \theta^\tau(x))$ is the $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$ indexing the $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbit through $x \in \text{Gr}$.

For a pair $(x, y) \in \text{Gr} \times \text{Gr}_-$, let $St(x) \subset G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ be the stabilizer of $x \in \text{Gr}$, and let $St^-(y) \subset G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ be the stabilizer of $y \in \text{Gr}_-$.

Lemma 5.1. *Fix a pair $(x, y) \in \text{Gr} \times \text{Gr}_-$, and let λ be the relative position of (x, y) . For any $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, the subgroup*

$$Q = \{g \in G \mid g = s(a), \text{ for } s \in St(x) \cap St^-(y)\} \subset G$$

is conjugate in G to the parabolic subgroup $P(\lambda)$.

Proof. Under the diagonal action of $g \in G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ on the product $\text{Gr} \times \text{Gr}_-$, the subgroup Q is conjugated by $g(a)$. Therefore by Proposition 2.2, we may assume x has representative $\lambda G(\mathbb{C}[t])$ and y has representative $1G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$. For such a pair, it is easy to verify that Q is precisely $P(\lambda)$. $\square$

Now we apply the above to the pair $(x, \theta^\tau(x))$. The intersection $St(x) \cap St^-(\theta^\tau(x))$ is preserved by θ^τ , and for any $a \in S^1$, evaluating at a is an equivariant map with respect to the action of θ^τ on $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ and θ on G . Therefore the subgroup

$$Q = \{g \in G \mid g = s(a), \text{ for } s \in St(x) \cap St^-(\theta^\tau(x))\} \subset G$$

is a parabolic subgroup conjugate to $P(\lambda)$ and preserved by θ . This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

We are now ready to parameterize the $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits in Gr .

Theorem 5.1. *The map $LG_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash \text{Gr} \rightarrow \Lambda_T^+$ is an inclusion. Its image is the subset $\mathcal{L} \subset \Lambda_T^+$.*

Proof. Fix $x \in \Omega G_c$, and let $y \in \Omega G_c$ be the element $\tilde{\theta}^\tau(x)x$. By Proposition 5.1, the unique $\lambda \in \Lambda_T^+$ contained in the double coset $G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])yG(\mathbb{C}[t]) \subset G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ is in fact in Λ_S^+ .

We first show that the following assertion $(\dagger)$ implies the theorem:

There exists $g_+ \in G(\mathbb{C}[t])$ such that $\tilde{\theta}^\tau(g_+)yg_+ = \lambda$ in $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$.

Let $X_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G$ denote the identity component of the fixed-point subspace of $\tilde{\theta}$. Thinking of y and λ as loops in $X_{\mathbb{R}}$, we see that the assertion $(\dagger)$ implies that $[y] = [\lambda]$ in $\pi_1(X_{\mathbb{R}})$ since g_+ extends across 0 and $\tilde{\theta}^\tau(g_+)$ extends across ∞ . Thinking of y and λ as loops in X , we conclude that $[y] = [\lambda]$ in $\pi_1(X)$ since X_c is a deformation retract of both X and $X_{\mathbb{R}}$. This proves that $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$, since $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ agree on ΩG_c , and so by construction $[y]$ is in the image of π_* . Now by Lemma 4.1 and the fact that $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ agree on ΩG_c , we may choose $c \in \Omega G_c$ such that $\tilde{\theta}^\tau(c)c = \lambda$. Since $y = \tilde{\theta}^\tau(x)x$ and $\lambda = \tilde{\theta}^\tau(c)c$ in $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$, the assertion $(\dagger)$ implies that xg_+c^{-1} is fixed by the involution θ^τ . In other words, the element $g_{\mathbb{R}} = xg_+c^{-1}$ is in $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$, we have $g_{\mathbb{R}}c = xg_+$ in $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$, and so $g_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot c = x$ in Gr . Since the subgroup $\Omega K_c \subset LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ acts transitively on the elements $c \in \Omega G_c$ with $\tilde{\theta}^\tau(c)c = \lambda$, we conclude that the $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbit in Gr through x is the only $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbit indexed by λ . This proves the theorem once we establish the assertion $(\dagger)$.

We shall establish the assertion $(\dagger)$ by acting on y by a series of elements in $G(\mathbb{C}[t])$ until we arrive at λ .

First, we write y as a product $g_1 \lambda g_2$ with $g_1 \in G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ and $g_2 \in G(\mathbb{C}[t])$. By acting by g_1^{-1} on the left and $\tilde{\theta}^\tau(g_1^{-1})$ on the right, we may assume $y = \lambda g_3$ with $g_3 \in G(\mathbb{C}[t])$.

Let $L \subset G$ be the centralizer of λ .

Lemma 5.2. *There is a parabolic subgroup $Q \subset G$ with Levi factor L such that $g_3 = \ell u$ with $\ell \in L$ and $u \in Q^u(\mathbb{C}[t])$, where Q^u is the unipotent radical of Q . Furthermore, ℓ is fixed by $\tilde{\theta}$.*

Proof. Applying $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$ to y and using that y and λ are fixed by $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$, we obtain the identity

$$\tilde{\theta}^\tau(g_3) = \lambda g_3 \lambda^{-1} \text{ in } G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]).$$

From the identity and the fact that $g_3 \in G(\mathbb{C}[t])$ and $\tilde{\theta}^\tau(g_3) \in G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$, we have the first assertion. We see the second by inspecting the constant term of the identity. $\square$

Let $g_4 = \ell^{-1} g_3$, so that $g_4 \in Q^u(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$.

Lemma 5.3. *We may define an element $g_4^{1/2} \in G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ by the formula*

$$g_4^{1/2} = \exp(\log(g_4)/2).$$

It satisfies the equation

$$\tilde{\theta}^\tau(g_4^{-1/2}) y g_4^{-1/2} = \lambda \ell.$$

Proof. We may define $g_4^{1/2}$ since $g_4 \in Q^u(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$. The equation follows from a simple calculation and the observation that commuting relations among group elements are satisfied by power series in those elements. $\square$

After acting by $\tilde{\theta}^\tau(g_4^{-1/2})$ on the left and $g_4^{-1/2}$ on the right, we may assume $y = \lambda \ell$, with $\ell \in L$ satisfying $\tilde{\theta}(\ell) = \ell$. We are guaranteed that $\ell \in X_{\mathbb{R}}$ since our original element y was a loop in $X_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since we may identify $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ with the quotient space $G_{\mathbb{R}} \backslash G$, we see that there exists $h \in G$ such that $\tilde{\theta}(h) \ell h = 1$. After acting by $\tilde{\theta}(h)$ on the left and h on the right, we may assume $y = \tilde{\theta}(h) \lambda \theta(h)$ which is a homomorphism $\mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow G$.

On homomorphisms $\mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow G$, the time involution τ and the inverse map agree. Therefore since y is fixed by $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$, its values on $\mathbb{R}^\times$ are fixed by θ . In other words, y is the extension of a homomorphism $\mathbb{R}^\times \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore it is conjugate to λ by an element $g_{\mathbb{R}} \in G_{\mathbb{R}}$, and we have $\tilde{\theta}(g_{\mathbb{R}}) y g_{\mathbb{R}} = g_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} y g_{\mathbb{R}} = \lambda$, and the assertion $(\ddagger)$ is proved. $\square$

6. THE BASED LOOP SPACE ΩX_c

Recall that we write $X_c \subset G_c$ for the identity component of the fixed-point subspace of the anti-involution $\tilde{\eta}$, and the projection $\pi : G_c \rightarrow X_c$ defined by $\pi(g) = \tilde{\eta}(g)g$ induces a G_c -equivariant isomorphism $K_c \backslash G_c \xrightarrow{\sim} X_c$.

6.1. Definition of ΩX_c . We define the loop space LX_c to be the subspace of LG_c consisting of maps that take the unit circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$ into $X_c \subset G_c$. We define the based loop space ΩX_c to be the subspace of LX_c consisting of maps that take $1 \in S^1$ to $1 \in X_c \subset G_c$.

Lemma 6.1. *The based loop space $\Omega X_c \subset \Omega G_c$ is the fixed-point subspace of the anti-involution $\tilde{\eta}$.*

Proof. Clearly every element of ΩX_c is fixed by $\tilde{\eta}$. Conversely, suppose an element of ΩG_c is fixed by $\tilde{\eta}$. Since the element is based, it maps S^1 into the identity component of the fixed-point subspace of $\tilde{\eta}$. Thus by definition the element lies in ΩX_c . $\square$

The point of introducing ΩX_c is that the G_c -equivariant projection $\pi : G_c \rightarrow X_c$ induces an LG_c -equivariant projection $\pi : \Omega G_c \rightarrow \Omega X_c$ which in turn induces an LG_c -equivariant inclusion $\Omega K_c \setminus \Omega G_c \subset \Omega X_c$. By definition, we have an LG_c -equivariant inclusion $\Omega X_c \subset \Omega G_c$. Therefore we may relate structures on ΩG_c to those on $\Omega K_c \setminus \Omega G_c$.

6.2. Application to orbit posets. We have shown that the orbits of $K(\mathcal{K})$ and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ in Gr are parameterized by the set $\mathcal{L} \subset \Lambda_S^+$. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$, we write $\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \subset \text{Gr}$ for the $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbit indexed by λ , and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \subset \text{Gr}$ for the $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbit indexed by λ . We also write $\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda \subset \text{Gr}$ for their intersection $\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$.

Similarly, for $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$, we define the subspace $P^\lambda \subset \Omega X_c$ to be the intersection of ΩX_c with the stratum $S^\lambda \subset \Omega G_c$, and the dual subspace $Q^\lambda \subset \Omega X_c$ to be the intersection of ΩX_c with the dual stratum $T^\lambda \subset \Omega G_c$. We also define the core $B^\lambda \subset \Omega X_c$ to be the intersection of ΩX_c with the core $C^\lambda \subset \Omega G_c$.

Proposition 6.1. *Under the projection $\pi : \Omega G_c \rightarrow \Omega X_c$, the $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbit $\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda$ maps into the subspace P^λ , the $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda$ maps into the dual subspace Q^λ , and their intersection $\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda$ maps into the core B^λ .*

Proof. By construction, for a point $g \in \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda$ thought of as an element of ΩG_c , we have that $\pi(g) = \tilde{\eta}(g)g$ is in the double coset $G(\mathbb{C}[t])\lambda G(\mathbb{C}[t]) \subset G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$. By definition, P^λ is the intersection of ΩX_c with this double coset.

The proof for the $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits is similar, keeping in mind that the restrictions of $\tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\theta}^\tau$ to ΩG_c are equal. The assertion for the orbit intersections is then immediate from the definitions. $\square$

We have the following application to the orbit poset structures.

Proposition 6.2. *For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{L}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_K^\mu \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda} &= \emptyset & \text{if } \mu \not\leq \lambda \\ \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\mu &= \emptyset & \text{if } \lambda \not\leq \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the definitions and Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^\mu \cap \overline{P^\lambda} &= \emptyset & \text{if } \mu \not\leq \lambda \\ \overline{Q^\lambda} \cap Q^\mu &= \emptyset & \text{if } \lambda \not\leq \mu. \end{aligned}$$

The result then follows immediately from Proposition 6.1. $\square$

The following is also useful.

Proposition 6.3. *For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{L}$, the subspace $P^\lambda \subset \Omega X_c$ and its intersection $P^\lambda \cap Q^\mu$ with the dual subspace $Q^\mu \subset \Omega X_c$ are smooth. The restriction of the energy function E to the intersection $P^\lambda \cap Q^\mu$ has no critical point if $\lambda \neq \mu$.*

Proof. We use the following easily verified lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Let N be a smooth manifold on which a compact group C acts smoothly, and let $M \subset N$ denote the fixed-point subspace of C . Then M is a smooth submanifold. Let $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth C -invariant function, and let $f|_M$ denote the restriction of f to M . If $m \in M$ is a critical point of $f|_M$, then m is also a critical point of f .*

That P^λ is smooth follows immediately from Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 6.2 with $N = S^\lambda$, $M = P^\lambda$, and $C = \langle \tilde{\eta} \rangle$. The remaining assertions follow immediately from Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.4, and Lemma 6.2 with $N = S^\lambda \cap T^\mu$, $M = P^\lambda \cap Q^\mu$, $C = \langle \tilde{\eta} \rangle$, and $f = E|_{S^\lambda \cap T^\mu}$. $\square$

As a corollary of the above propositions, we obtain the geometric relation between the orbits of $K(\mathcal{K})$ and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ in Gr which characterizes the correspondence.

Corollary 6.1. *For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{L}$, the intersection $\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\mu$ of the $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbit $\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \subset \text{Gr}$ and the $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\mu \subset \text{Gr}$, is a single LK_c -orbit if and only if $\lambda = \mu$.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.1 and the fact that K_c acts transitively on the core B^λ , the inverse image $\mathcal{O}_c^\lambda = \pi^{-1}(B^\lambda)$ is a single LK_c -orbit and the unique one contained in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\lambda \cap \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda$. On the other hand, if $\lambda \neq \mu$ and the intersection $P^\lambda \cap Q^\mu$ is nonempty, then it is not compact by Proposition 6.3. Since π maps each LK_c -orbit in ΩG_c to a single K_c -orbit in ΩX_c , there is an infinite number of LK_c -orbits in $\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^\mu$. $\square$

In the remainder of this section, our aim is to prove a weak converse to Proposition 6.2. To do this, we first need the following.

Proposition 6.4. *For $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$, let P_0^λ be a connected component of the subspace $P^\lambda \subset \Omega X_c$ such that a point of P_0^λ is in the image of the projection $\pi : \Omega G_c \rightarrow \Omega X_c$. Then there is a compact subspace $W \subset \Omega G_c$ such that $P_0^\lambda \subset \pi(W)$.*

Proof. We use the following easily-verified lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *Let N be a smooth manifold on which a compact group C acts smoothly with fixed point set $M \subset N$. Let Z be a topological space on which C acts continuously with fixed point set $Y \subset Z$. Assume Z is the disjoint union of smooth manifolds $Z = \cup_{i \in I} Z_i$, such that the action of C preserves Z_i and is smooth, for each $i \in I$. Let $f : Z \rightarrow N$ be a proper C -equivariant map such that for each $i \in I$, the restriction $f|_{Z_i}$ is a smooth submersion. Then the restriction $f|_Y$ is a surjection onto the components of M which contain a point in the image of $f|_Y$.*

We shall apply Lemma 6.3 with $N = S^\lambda$, $M = P^\lambda$, and $C = \langle \tilde{\eta} \rangle$. We must construct the other ingredients required by the lemma.

Consider the product $\Omega G_c \times \Omega G_c$ of two copies of the based loop group. Let m denote the multiplication map

$$m : \Omega G_c \times \Omega G_c \rightarrow \Omega G_c, \quad m((x, y)) = xy.$$

Let p denote the convolution map

$$p : G(\mathcal{K}) \times_{G(\mathcal{O})} G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}, \quad p(g, hG(\mathcal{O})) = ghG(\mathcal{O})$$

where $G(\mathcal{K}) \times_{G(\mathcal{O})} G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ is the twisted product defined by the equivalence relation $(xg_+, yG(\mathcal{O})) = (x, g_+yG(\mathcal{O}))$, for $g_+ \in G(\mathcal{O})$. By Theorem 2.1, the map $\Omega G_c \times \Omega G_c \rightarrow$

$G(\mathcal{K}) \times_{G(\mathcal{O})} G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ induced by the inclusion $\Omega G_c \times \Omega G_c \subset G(\mathcal{K}) \times G(\mathcal{K})$ is a homeomorphism. We obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega G_c \times \Omega G_c & \xrightarrow{\sim} & G(\mathcal{K}) \times_{G(\mathcal{O})} G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O}) \\ m \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \Omega G_c & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Gr}. \end{array}$$

The reason for introducing the twisted product is that the group $G(\mathcal{O})$ acts on it by the formula $g_+ \cdot (x, y) = (g_+x, y)$, and the convolution map p is equivariant for the action.

Lemma 6.4. *For $(x, y) \in G(\mathcal{K}) \times_{G(\mathcal{O})} G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$, let S denote the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit through (x, y) , and let S^λ denote the stratum of Gr containing $p((x, y))$. Then the restriction of the convolution $p|_S : S \rightarrow S^\lambda$ is a surjective submersion.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariance of the convolution. $\square$

To apply Lemma 6.3, we need a candidate for the space Z . By Lemma 4.1, we may find a point $(\tilde{\eta}(x), x) \in G(\mathcal{K}) \times_{G(\mathcal{O})} G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ such that $p((\tilde{\eta}(x), x)) = \lambda$. Consider the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit $S \subset G(\mathcal{K}) \times_{G(\mathcal{O})} G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ through the point $(\tilde{\eta}(x), x)$. Let Z be the intersection of the closure $\overline{S}$ and the inverse image $p^{-1}(S^\lambda)$. Clearly it is a disjoint union of $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits, and the map $p : Z \rightarrow S^\lambda$ is proper and restricts to a submersion on each orbit by Lemma 6.4.

Finally, to apply Lemma 6.3, we are left to find an action of $C = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on Z such that the convolution p is equivariant for it and the action of $\tilde{\eta}$ on S^λ . Let $\tilde{\epsilon}$ be the anti-involution of $\Omega G_c \times \Omega G_c$ defined by $\tilde{\epsilon}(x, y) = (\tilde{\eta}(y), \tilde{\eta}(x))$. Clearly the multiplication m is equivariant for the action of $\tilde{\epsilon}$ on $\Omega G_c \times \Omega G_c$ and the action of $\tilde{\eta}$ on ΩG_c . Using the identifications $\Omega G_c \times \Omega G_c \simeq G(\mathcal{K}) \times_{G(\mathcal{O})} G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ and $\Omega G_c \simeq \text{Gr}$, we see that the convolution p is equivariant for the induced actions.

Lemma 6.5. *For $(x, y) \in G(\mathcal{K}) \times_{G(\mathcal{O})} G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$, the map $\tilde{\epsilon}$ takes the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit through (x, y) to the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit through $(\tilde{\eta}(y), \tilde{\eta}(x))$.*

Proof. Let $g_+ \in G(\mathcal{O})$, and let $(a, b) = g_+ \cdot (x, y) \in G(\mathcal{K}) \times_{G(\mathcal{O})} G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$. We need to show that there exists $h_+ \in G(\mathcal{O})$ such that $h_+ \cdot (\tilde{\eta}(y), \tilde{\eta}(x)) = (\tilde{\eta}(b), \tilde{\eta}(a))$. By Theorem 2.1, there exists $g'_+ \in G(\mathcal{O})$ such that $g_+xy = abg'_+$ in $G(\mathcal{K})$. A simple calculation shows that taking $h_+ = \tilde{\eta}(g'_+)^{-1}$ works. $\square$

Using Lemma 6.5 for each $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit in Z , we see that $\tilde{\epsilon}$ acts on Z satisfying the requirements of Lemma 6.3. We conclude by Lemma 6.3 that the fixed points of $\tilde{\epsilon}$ in Z surject onto the components P_0^λ containing a point in the image of the convolution p . Now we may identify the fixed points of $\tilde{\epsilon}$ in $G(\mathcal{K}) \times_{G(\mathcal{O})} G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$ with ΩG_c so that p restricted to ΩG_c coincides with the projection π . By construction, the closure $\overline{Z} \subset \Omega G_c$ is compact, and we may take W to be $\overline{Z}$. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

We are now ready to prove a weak converse to Proposition 6.2. We call elements $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{L}$ primitively related, and write $\lambda \dot{\leq} \mu$, if $\lambda \leq \mu$ and there is no element $\nu \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\lambda < \nu < \mu$.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\lambda \dot{\leq} \mu \in \mathcal{L}$ be primitively related. Assume the intersection $\mathcal{O}_K^\mu \cap \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ is nonempty. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{O}_K^\mu} \cap \mathcal{O}_K^\lambda &\neq \emptyset \\ \overline{\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda} \cap \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu &\neq \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By assumption and Proposition 6.1, there is a nonempty component N of the intersection $P^\mu \cap Q^\lambda$.

We show that N contains an open line segment whose lower limit lies in the core B^λ and whose upper limit lies in the core B^μ . By Proposition 6.3, N is a manifold, and the restriction of the energy function $E|_N$ has no critical points. Thus to find such a line segment, it suffices to show that for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $E(\lambda) < a \leq b < E(\mu)$, the inverse image $(E|_N)^{-1}([a, b])$ is compact. If this is so, then for any metric on N , any integral line of the gradient vector field of $E|_N$ will serve as the sought-after line segment.

We show that the inverse image $(E|_N)^{-1}([a, b])$ is compact by contradiction. Suppose there is a sequence of points in it such that no subsequence has a limit. Then by Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, there is a dominant coweight $\nu \in \Lambda_T^+$ with $\lambda < \nu < \mu$ such that the sequence has a subsequence with a limit in the stratum S^ν of ΩG_c . By Proposition 6.4, there is a compact subspace $W \subset \Omega G_c$ such that $N \subset \pi(W)$. Therefore $\pi(W)$ contains the limit point, and so by Proposition 6.1, we have $\nu \in \mathcal{L}$. But this contradicts the assumption that $\lambda \dot{\leq} \mu \in \mathcal{L}$ are primitively related.

Finally, the line segment we have constructed in N is contained in $\pi(W)$. Consider any set-theoretic lift of the line segment to W . By the compactness of W , in each direction of the lift there is a subsequence whose limit exists. By construction, one limit projects to P^λ and the other to Q^μ . Therefore by Proposition 6.1, one limit lies in $\mathcal{O}_K^\lambda$ and the other in $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu$. $\square$

7. THE REAL AFFINE GRASSMANNIAN $\text{Gr}_\mathbb{R}$

The aim of the remaining sections is to establish that the orbit posets of $LG_\mathbb{R}$ and $K(\mathcal{K})$ acting on Gr are as asserted in the introduction. To accomplish this, it suffices by Proposition 6.5 to show that for $\lambda \dot{\leq} \mu \in \mathcal{L}$ primitively related, the intersection $\mathcal{O}_K^\mu \cap \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ is nonempty. Proving this intersection is nonempty is the aim of the remaining sections. The strategy is to relate the orbits of $LG_\mathbb{R}$ and $K(\mathcal{K})$ in Gr to stratifications of the real affine Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_\mathbb{R}$ which we introduce in this section.

7.1. Definition of $\text{Gr}_\mathbb{R}$. As usual, let c denote the standard conjugation of the field $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((t))$ of formal Laurent series, and let $\mathcal{K}_\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}((t))$ denote the resulting real form, the field of real formal Laurent series. The restriction of the conjugation c preserves the ring $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ of formal power series, and we let $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}[[t]]$ denote the resulting real form, the ring of real formal power series.

In Section 3, we extended the conjugation θ of G to a conjugation θ of $G(\mathcal{K})$. Since the conjugation θ of $G(\mathcal{K})$ restricts to a conjugation of the subgroup $G(\mathcal{O})$, it induces

a conjugation of the affine Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr} = G(\mathcal{K})/G(\mathcal{O})$. We define the real affine Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ to be the resulting real form of Gr . We identify it with the quotient

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} = G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}})/G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

where $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}})$ denotes the group of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -valued points of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$, and $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ the subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -valued points. We shall only be interested in the structure of $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ as a topological space, which it inherits as a subspace of Gr . (Although we do not use the result, it was first recognized by Quillen, then proved in [Mit88], that $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is homotopy equivalent to the based loop space of the symmetric space X_c .)

The restrictions of the two stratifications of Gr to the real form $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ provide stratifications of $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The strata $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ are the orbits of the action of $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$, and the dual strata $T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ are the orbits of the action of $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[t^{-1}])$. By the Cartan decomposition, the stratum $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ and dual stratum $T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ are non-empty if and only if $\lambda \in \Lambda_S^+$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_S^+$, we define the core $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ to be the intersection $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \cap T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$.

Proposition 7.1. *The real affine Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the disjoint union*

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_S^+} S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \quad \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_S^+} T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}.$$

The closures of the strata and dual strata are the disjoint unions

$$\overline{S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}} = \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \lambda} S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mu} \quad \overline{T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \leq \mu} T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mu}.$$

The stratum $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ is a smooth real quasi-projective variety, and the core $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ is isomorphic to the flag variety $G_{\mathbb{R}}/P_{\mathbb{R}}(\lambda)$.

Proof. Thanks to Propositions 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3, it only remains to prove the assertion $(\star)$: if $\lambda - \mu$ is a non-negative integral linear combination of positive coroots of G , then

$$S^{\mu} \subset \overline{S^{\lambda}} \text{ and } T^{\lambda} \subset \overline{T^{\mu}}.$$

Let $R^{\mathrm{pos}} \subset \Lambda_T$ be the set of positive coroots of G , and let $Q^{\mathrm{pos}} \subset \Lambda_T$ be the semigroup generated by R^{pos} .

Lemma 7.1. *The semigroup $\Lambda_S \cap Q^{\mathrm{pos}}$ is generated by elements of the form $\alpha \in \Lambda_S \cap R^{\mathrm{pos}}$, and $\theta(\alpha) + \alpha \in \Lambda_S$, for $\alpha \in R^{\mathrm{pos}}$.*

Proof. Let $2\check{\rho}_M$ denote the sum of the positive roots of the complexification $M \subset P$ of the Levi factor $M_{\mathbb{R}} \subset P_{\mathbb{R}}$ of the minimal parabolic subgroup $P_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$. The involution θ fixes elements of Λ_S and takes $2\check{\rho}_M$ to its negative. Therefore Λ_S pairs trivially with $2\check{\rho}_M$, and so we may write $\beta \in \Lambda_S \cap Q^{\mathrm{pos}}$ uniquely as a sum $\beta = \sum_i \alpha_i$ of simple coroots α_i of the centralizer L of $2\check{\rho}_M$. Since θ preserves the set of simple coroots of L , and the expression of β as a sum of the simple coroots of L is unique, θ also preserves the set $\{\alpha_i\}$ of simple coroots counted with multiplicities appearing in the sum. The assertion follows by induction on the size of this set. $\square$

By Lemma 7.1, it suffices to prove assertion $(\star)$ when $\lambda - \mu$ is a positive coroot α , or when $\lambda - \mu$ is of the form $\theta(\alpha) + \alpha$, for a positive coroot α but $\lambda - \mu$ is not a multiple

of a positive coroot. In the first case, we may find $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that α is its positive coroot. Let $U_{\alpha} \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the one-parameter subgroup corresponding to α . The orbit through λ of the subgroup $U_{\alpha}(rt) \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$, for $r \in \mathbb{R}$, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$, and its closure is isomorphic to $\mathbb{RP}^1$ with μ the point at infinity. In the second case, we may find $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\theta(\alpha) + \alpha$ is its positive coroot. Let $U_{\theta(\alpha)+\alpha} \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the one-parameter subgroup corresponding to $\theta(\alpha) + \alpha$. Then the orbit through λ of the subgroup $U_{\theta(\alpha)+\alpha}(ct) \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$, for $c \in \mathbb{C}$, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, and its closure is isomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^1$ with μ the point at infinity. We conclude $S^{\mu} \subset \overline{S^{\lambda}}$. By taking the opposite subgroups with t^{-1} substituted for t and acting on μ , we conclude $T^{\lambda} \subset \overline{T^{\mu}}$. $\square$

Recall the automorphism $\phi_z : \mathrm{Gr} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}$ induced by the automorphism $t \mapsto z^{-1}t$ of the field $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((t))$, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. When $z \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the automorphism $t \mapsto z^{-1}t$ preserves the field $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}((t))$, and so the induced automorphism of Gr preserves the real form $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Theorem 2.2 immediately implies the following.

Theorem 7.1. *The cores $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ are the fixed points of the $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$ -action on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ given by ϕ_z . The strata $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ are the ascending spaces*

$$S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} = \{x \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \phi_z(x) \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}\},$$

and the dual strata $T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ are the descending spaces

$$T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} = \{x \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \phi_z(x) \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}\}.$$

8. BEILINSON-DRINFELD GRASSMANNIANS

Let $\mathbb{C} = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[t])$ denote the affine line, and $\mathbb{C}^{\times} = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ the punctured affine line $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. For any $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra A , let $\mathbb{C}_A$, respectively $\mathbb{C}_A^{\times}$, denote the product $\mathbb{C} \times \mathrm{Spec}(A)$, respectively $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. The following proposition (first proved for $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$ in [BL94, Proposition 2.1]) gives an alternative characterization of the functor $A \mapsto G(A((t)))/G(A[[t]])$ from $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras to sets.

Proposition 8.1 ([LS97], Proposition 3.10). *There is a canonical isomorphism between the functor $A \mapsto G(A((t)))/G(A[[t]])$ and the functor*

$$A \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F} \text{ a } G\text{-torsor on } \mathbb{C}_A, \\ \nu \text{ a trivialization of } \mathcal{F} \text{ over } \mathbb{C}_A^{\times} \end{array} \right).$$

The data are to be taken up to isomorphism. We think of the trivialization as a section $\nu : \mathbb{C}_A^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{\mathbb{C}_A^{\times}}$, so that an isomorphism from a pair $(\mathcal{F}_1, \nu_1)$ to another $(\mathcal{F}_2, \nu_2)$ is an isomorphism of torsors $\phi : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ such that $\nu_2 = \phi \circ \nu_1$.

8.1. Definition of $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$. For any $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra A , let $\mathbb{C}(A) = \mathrm{Spec}(A[t])$ denote the A -valued points of the affine line $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the following functor from $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras to sets

$$A \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{l} x \in \mathbb{C}(A), \\ \mathcal{F} \text{ a } G\text{-torsor on } \mathbb{C}_A, \\ \nu \text{ a trivialization of } \mathcal{F} \text{ over } \mathbb{C}_A \setminus (x \cup -x) \end{array} \right).$$

The data are to be taken up to isomorphism. We think of the points $x, -x : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as subschemes of $\mathbb{C}_A$ by taking their graphs. We think of the trivialization as a section $\nu : \mathbb{C}_A \setminus (x \cup -x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|\mathbb{C}_A \setminus (x \cup -x)$, so that an isomorphism from a pair $(\mathcal{F}_1, \nu_1)$ to another $(\mathcal{F}_2, \nu_2)$ is an isomorphism of torsors $\phi : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ such that $\nu_2 = \phi \circ \nu_1$.

The functor is known to be represented by an ind-finite type ind-scheme. We refer to its space of $\mathbb{C}$ -points equipped with the analytic topology as the Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannian of G , and denote it by $\text{Gr}^{(2)}$. (See [BD, MV00] for more details.)

We have the natural projection $\text{Gr}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $(x, \mathcal{F}, \nu) \mapsto x$.

Proposition 8.2 ([BD], 5.3.10). *There are canonical isomorphisms*

$$\text{Gr}^{(2)}|\{0\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr}$$

$$\text{Gr}^{(2)}|(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr} \times \text{Gr} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}).$$

Observe that the flow $\phi_z : \text{Gr} \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ extends to a flow $\tilde{\phi}_z : \text{Gr}^{(2)} \rightarrow \text{Gr}^{(2)}$ such that $\tilde{\phi}_z|\{0\} = \phi_z$ under the identification $\text{Gr}^{(2)}|\{0\} \simeq \text{Gr}$. The extended flow is defined by the formula

$$\tilde{\phi}_z(x, \mathcal{F}, \nu) = (z \cdot x, \mathcal{F}^{-z}, \nu^{-z})$$

where $z \cdot x$ denotes multiplication of $x \in \mathbb{C}$ by $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $\mathcal{F}^{-z}$ the pull-back of $\mathcal{F}$ via multiplication by z^{-1} , and ν^{-z} the pull-back of the trivialization. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gr}^{(2)} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_z} & \text{Gr}^{(2)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{z \cdot} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

where the map $z \cdot$ is multiplication by $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

8.2. The real form $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}$. Recall that θ denotes the conjugation of G with respect to $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let c denote the standard conjugation of $\mathbb{C}$ with respect to $\mathbb{R}$. We define a twisted conjugation of $\text{Gr}^{(2)}$ by the formula

$$\theta_\sigma(x, \mathcal{F}, \nu) = (-c(x), \mathcal{F}, \nu_c^\theta)$$

where the section ν_c^θ is the composition

$$\nu_c^\theta : \mathbb{C} \setminus (c(x) \cup -c(x)) \xrightarrow{c} \mathbb{C} \setminus (x \cup -x) \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{F}|\mathbb{C} \setminus (x \cup -x) \xrightarrow{f_c^\theta} \mathcal{F}|\mathbb{C} \setminus (x \cup -x)$$

and the map f_c^θ is defined to be $f_c^\theta(g, z) = (\theta(g), c(z))$ with respect to any trivialization $\mathcal{F} \simeq G \times \mathbb{C}$. Although the section ν_c^θ depends on the choice of trivialization, the isomorphism class of the pair $(\mathcal{F}, \nu_c^\theta)$ is independent of the choice.

We define the twisted real Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}$ to be the real form of $\text{Gr}^{(2)}$ with respect to the twisted conjugation θ_σ .

The projection $(x, \mathcal{F}, \nu) \mapsto x$ takes the real form $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}$ to the imaginary axis $i\mathbb{R}$, since if $x \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $-c(x) = x$, then $x \in i\mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 8.3. *There are isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{0\} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| (i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gr} \times (i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The isomorphisms are the restrictions of the isomorphisms of Proposition 8.2. $\square$

Observe that for $z \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the flow $\tilde{\phi}_z : \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ restricts to provide a flow $\tilde{\phi}_z : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_z} & \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i\mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{z \cdot} & i\mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

where the map $z \cdot$ is multiplication by $z \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Therefore the critical manifolds of the flow are the cores $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{0\}$, and the ascending spaces are the strata $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{0\}$, for $\lambda \in \Lambda_S^+$.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_S^+$, we write $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}$ for the descending space

$$\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} = \{x \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)} \mid \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\phi}_z(x) \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}\}$$

of points that contract to the core $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{0\}$. The identification $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{0\} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ restricts to an identification $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}| \{0\} \simeq T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$.

Proposition 8.4. *The isomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{i\} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}$ restricts to an isomorphism $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}| \{i\} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$, for $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$, and the fiber $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}| \{i\}$ is empty, for $\lambda \in \Lambda_S^+ \setminus \mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. We first show that all of the points of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{i\}$ contract to the same core $C_{\mathbb{R}}$. We then check that the core $C_{\mathbb{R}}$ is indeed $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$.

Let $\mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the complex projective line, and let $\mathbb{RP}^1 = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the real projective line. Consider the group of rational maps $g : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow G$ which have poles only at i and $-i$ and take $\mathbb{RP}^1$ into $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. We may identify this group with $LG_{\mathbb{R}} \subset G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$. It acts naturally on the fiber $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{i\}$. If a point $x \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{i\}$ contracts to a point $x_0 \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{0\}$, then the point $g \cdot x \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{i\}$ contracts to the point $g(\infty) \cdot x_0 \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{0\}$. Therefore if a point $x \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{i\}$ contracts to a core $C_{\mathbb{R}}$, then the point $g \cdot x \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{i\}$ also contracts to the same core $C_{\mathbb{R}}$.

To prove the proposition, it now suffices to show that for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$, there is a point $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{i\}$ which contracts to the core $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{0\}$. By Lemma 4.1, for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists $x \in \Omega G_c \simeq \mathrm{Gr}$ such that $\tilde{\theta}^{\tau}(x)x = \lambda$. The $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}$ is by construction the orbit through x . We claim this point $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{i\}$ contracts to $\lambda \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{0\}$. Under the inclusion $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)} \subset \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$, the point $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}| \{i\}$ is represented by $(x, \theta(x)) \in \mathrm{Gr} \times \mathrm{Gr} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}| \{i\}$. Consider the group of rational maps $g : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow G$ which have poles only at i and $-i$ and take

$\mathbb{RP}^1$ into G_c . We may identify this group with $LG_c \subset G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$. Its natural action on the fiber $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}|\{i\} \simeq \mathrm{Gr} \times \mathrm{Gr}$ is given by the formula $g \cdot (a, b) = (ga, \tau(g)b)$ where τ denotes the time-reversal involution of $G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$. As before, if a point $x \in \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}|\{i\}$ contracts to a point $x_0 \in \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}|\{0\}$, then the point $g \cdot x \in \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}|\{i\}$ contracts to the point $g(\infty) \cdot x_0 \in \mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}|\{0\}$. If we take $g = x^{-1} \in LG_c$, then $g \cdot (x, \theta(x)) = (1, \lambda)$. This point clearly contracts to $\lambda \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}|\{0\}$, so the point $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}|\{i\}$ does as well. $\square$

9. SPECIALIZATION FUNCTOR $R : \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Gr}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$

For an ind-scheme Z of ind-finite type, let $\mathbf{D}(Z)$ be the limit category of the bounded derived categories of finite-type subschemes of Z . We use the term sheaf to refer to objects of $\mathbf{D}(Z)$ and only considered derived functors. If a group H acts on Z preserving the ind-structure, we say a sheaf is H -constructible if it is constructible with respect to a stratification by H -invariant subschemes.

9.1. Definition of specialization. Consider the diagram of ind-schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathrm{Gr} \times i\mathbb{R}_{>0} & \simeq & \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}|i\mathbb{R}_{>0} & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}|i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} & \xleftarrow{i} & \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}|\{0\} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & i\mathbb{R}_{>0} & \rightarrow & i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} & \leftarrow & \{0\} \end{array}$$

Define a specialization functor $R : \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Gr}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ by the formula

$$R(\mathcal{F}) = i^* j_*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}})$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}$ denotes the constant sheaf on $i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Informally speaking, the specialization is the nearby cycles in the family $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}|i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

9.2. Relation to $K(\mathcal{K})$ -orbits.

Theorem 9.1. *The specialization $R : \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Gr}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}})$ takes $K(\mathcal{O})$ -constructible objects to $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ -constructible objects.*

Proof. The intersection of the subgroups $K(\mathcal{O})$ and $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ in the group $G(\mathcal{O})$ is the subgroup $K_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -valued points of the compact group K_c .

Lemma 9.1. *The orbits of $K_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ in $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$ coincide with the orbits of $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$.*

Proof. First note that the inclusion $K_c \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$ induces an isomorphism of component groups. Since the automorphism $t \mapsto rt$, for $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, induces a contraction of $K_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ to K_c and of $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ to $G_{\mathbb{R}}$, the inclusion $K_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ also induces an isomorphism of component groups. Moreover, thanks to this contraction, it now suffices to prove an infinitesimal version of the result at a core point $x \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}$. In other words, it suffices to show that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ of the group $K_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ surjects onto the tangent space $T_x S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ where the stratum $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ is the $G_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ -orbit through x . Equivalently, it suffices to show the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ is transverse to the kernel $\mathfrak{s}_x$ of the surjection $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow T_x S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$. For each k , we may identify the subspace $t^k \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The intersection $\mathfrak{k}_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \cap t^k \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a compact subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$, and $\mathfrak{s}_x \cap t^k \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ contains a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus in each

subspace $t^k \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ the subalgebras $\mathfrak{k}_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $\mathfrak{s}_x$ are transverse, and the result follows. $\square$

By the lemma, it suffices to show that the specialization takes $K(\mathcal{O})$ -constructible objects to $K_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ -constructible objects.

Consider the group K_c^{loc} of maps $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}[t]_{(t)}) \rightarrow K_c$ where $\mathbb{R}[t]_{(t)}$ denotes the local ring of rational functions on $\mathbb{R}$ without poles at $\{0\} \in \mathbb{R}$. The group K_c^{loc} naturally acts on the germ of $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}|_{\{0\}}$ inside $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}$. Therefore the following lemma implies the theorem.

Lemma 9.2. *The orbits of K_c^{loc} in $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}|_{\{0\}}$ coincide with the orbits of $K_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$.*

Proof. For any orbit of $K_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$, there exists k such that the action of $K_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}})$ on the orbit descends to an action of the group $K_c(\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{R}}^k)$ where $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{R}}^k = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}/(t^k)\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Now it is not difficult to prove that the natural map $K_c^{loc} \rightarrow K_c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow K_c(\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{R}}^k)$ is surjective. See [Gai01, Lemma 4] for details in a similar situation. $\square$

9.3. Relation to $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ -orbits. Recall that for the flow $\tilde{\phi}_z : \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}$, and for $\lambda \in \Lambda_S^+$, we have the critical manifolds $C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$, the ascending spaces $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$, and the descending spaces $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_S^+$, we write

$$s_{\lambda} : S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)} \quad \tilde{t}_{\lambda} : \tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}$$

for the inclusions, and

$$c_{\lambda} : S_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \quad \tilde{d}_{\lambda} : \tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$$

for the contractions.

Theorem 9.2. *For any object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}(\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)})$ that is $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ -constructible with respect to the flow $\tilde{\phi}_z : \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}$, for $z \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we have an isomorphism*

$$(c_{\lambda})_!(s_{\lambda})^* \mathcal{F} \simeq (\tilde{d}_{\lambda})_*(\tilde{t}_{\lambda})^! \mathcal{F}, \text{ for any } \lambda \in \Lambda_S^+.$$

Proof. The proof is an application of [GM93, Proposition 9.2] whose terminology we adopt. We may restrict our attention to the support of $\mathcal{F}$ which is a finite-dimensional variety. Then by [Nad02], we may work in an ambient smooth variety to which the automorphism ϕ_z , for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$, extends. Furthermore, any point of the ambient smooth variety has a neighborhood homeomorphic to an open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, such that under this homeomorphism ϕ_z acts linearly on $\mathbb{R}^n$ via a set of integral weights $w_1, \dots, w_n$. We may assume that $w_k > 0$, for $k \leq l$, $w_k = 0$, for $l < k < m$, and $w_k < 0$, for $m \leq k$. Define an indicator map $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1^{N/w_1} + \dots + x_m^{N/w_l}, x_l^{N/w_m} + \dots + x_n^{N/w_n})$$

where N is the least common multiple of $w_1, \dots, w_n$. This is an equivariant map where $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by $z \cdot (x, y) = (z^N x, z^{-N} y)$. Therefore there is a stratification of $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that the indicator map is a stratified map, and there are no non-open strata in a neighborhood of $(0, 0)$ other than $(0, 0)$ itself. Now [GM93, Proposition 9.2] applies and gives that the two sheaves of the theorem have isomorphic hypercohomology. In fact, the same arguments apply to the hypercohomology of any restrictions of the

sheaves. Thus by induction on strata, we conclude that the sheaves themselves are isomorphic. $\square$

Recall that by Proposition 8.4, we have an isomorphism $\tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}|\{i\} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$, for $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$, and the fiber $T_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}|\{i\}$ is empty, for $\lambda \in \Lambda_S^+ \setminus \mathcal{L}$. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$, we write

$$t_{\lambda} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}$$

for the restriction of the inclusion $\tilde{t}_{\lambda} : \tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(\sigma)}$, and

$$d_{\lambda} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$$

for the restriction of the contraction $\tilde{d}_{\lambda} : \tilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda} \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$.

Corollary 9.1. *For any object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Gr})$ that is $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ -constructible with respect to the flow $\phi_z : \mathrm{Gr} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}$, for $z \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (c_{\lambda})!(s_{\lambda})^* R(\mathcal{F}) &\simeq (d_{\lambda})_*(t_{\lambda})^! \mathcal{F} \quad \text{if } \lambda \in \mathcal{L} \\ (c_{\lambda})!(s_{\lambda})^* R(\mathcal{F}) &= 0 \quad \text{if } \lambda \in \Lambda_S^+ \setminus \mathcal{L}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that the constructibility of $\mathcal{F}$, implies the constructibility of $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}$ required in the hypothesis of Theorem 9.2. For the first assertion, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (c_{\lambda})!(s_{\lambda})^* R(\mathcal{F}) &= (c_{\lambda})!(s_{\lambda})^* i^* j_*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}) \quad (\text{definition of } R) \\ &\simeq (c_{\lambda})!(s_{\lambda})^* j_*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}) \quad (\text{composition of maps}) \\ &\simeq (\tilde{d}_{\lambda})_*(\tilde{t}_{\lambda})^! j_*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}) \quad (\text{Theorem 9.2}) \\ &\simeq (\tilde{d}_{\lambda})_* j_*(\tilde{t}_{\lambda})^! (\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}) \quad (\text{base change}) \\ &\simeq (\tilde{d}_{\lambda})_*(\tilde{t}_{\lambda})^! (\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}) \quad (\text{composition of maps}) \\ &\simeq (d_{\lambda})_*(t_{\lambda})^! \mathcal{F} \quad (\text{integration along } \mathbb{R}_{>0}\text{-orbits}). \end{aligned}$$

The second assertion follows from the first if for $\lambda \in \Lambda_S^+ \setminus \mathcal{L}$, we set $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\lambda}$ to be the empty set. $\square$

10. POSET STRUCTURE: CLOSURE RELATIONS

In this final section, we confirm that the poset structures on the sets of orbits of the groups $K(\mathcal{K})$ and $LG_{\mathbb{R}}$ in the affine Grassmannian Gr are as asserted in the introduction.

Recall that $\mathcal{L} \subset \Lambda_S^+$ is the semigroup of dominant real coweights mapped by $[\cdot] : \Lambda_S^+ \rightarrow \pi_1(X_c)$ into the image of $\pi_* : \pi_1(G) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$. We order $\mathcal{L} \subset \Lambda_S^+$ by restricting the natural order from the dominant coweights: $\lambda \leq \mu$ if and only if $\mu - \lambda$ is a non-negative integral linear combination of positive coroots of G .

Theorem 10.1. *The bijection $K(\mathcal{K}) \setminus \mathrm{Gr} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}$ is a poset isomorphism, and the bijection $LG_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \mathrm{Gr} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}$ is a poset anti-isomorphism.*

Proof. Recall that we call two dominant real coweights $\lambda \leq \mu \in \Lambda_S^+$ primitively related if there is no dominant real coweight $\nu \in \Lambda_S^+$ with $\lambda < \nu < \mu$. By Proposition 6.2, Proposition 6.5, and the fact that the relation of orbit closure is transitive, it suffices to show the following.

Proposition 10.1. *If $\lambda \leq \mu \in \mathcal{L}$ are primitively related, then the intersection $\mathcal{O}_K^\mu \cap \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ is nonempty.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, there is a point $x \in \mathcal{O}_K^\mu \cap \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu \subset \text{Gr}$. Consider the $K(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit $S \subset \text{Gr}$ through x . We shall show that $S \cap \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ is non-empty by applying the results of the previous section. Let $\mathbb{C}_S$ be the $*$ -extension to Gr of the constant sheaf on S . By Corollary 9.1, we have an isomorphism

$$(c_\lambda)_!(s_\lambda)^* R(\mathbb{C}_S) \simeq (d_\lambda)_*(t_\lambda)^! \mathbb{C}_S.$$

If the intersection $S \cap \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\lambda$ were empty, then the sheaf $(t_\lambda)^! \mathbb{C}_S$ would vanish. Therefore the following lemma implies the proposition and thus the theorem as well.

Lemma 10.1. *The sheaf $(c_\lambda)_!(s_\lambda)^* R(\mathbb{C}_S)$ does not vanish.*

Proof. By Theorem 9.1, the sheaf $R(\mathbb{C}_S)$ is $G_\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R})$ -constructible, thus it suffices to show $(s_\lambda)^* R(\mathbb{C}_S)$ does not vanish since c_λ has affine fibers. Simplifying the expression

$$\begin{aligned} (s_\lambda)^* R(\mathbb{C}_S) &= (s_\lambda)^* i^* j_*(\mathbb{C}_S \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}) \quad (\text{definition of } R) \\ &\simeq (s_\lambda)^* j_*(\mathbb{C}_S \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}) \quad (\text{composition of maps}), \end{aligned}$$

we see that it suffices to show $S_\mathbb{R}^\lambda \subset \text{Gr}_\mathbb{R}^{(\sigma)}|\{0\}$ is in the closure of $S \times i\mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset \text{Gr}_\mathbb{R}^{(\sigma)}|i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Let $\overline{S_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}} \subset \text{Gr}_\mathbb{R}^{(\sigma)}$ be the closure of $S \times i\mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset \text{Gr}_\mathbb{R}^{(\sigma)}|i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. By similar arguments to those given in the proof of Proposition 8.4, $\overline{S_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}}$ contains the core $C_\mathbb{R}^\mu \subset \text{Gr}_\mathbb{R}^{(\sigma)}|\{0\}$ since the intersection $S \cap \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{R}^\mu \subset \text{Gr}_\mathbb{R}^{(\sigma)}|\{i\}$ is nonempty. Thus by Proposition 7.1 and Theorem 9.1, $\overline{S_{i\mathbb{R}_{>0}}}$ contains the strata $S_\mathbb{R}^\nu \subset \text{Gr}_\mathbb{R}^{(\sigma)}|\{0\}$, for all $\nu \leq \mu$. In particular, it contains the stratum $S_\mathbb{R}^\lambda \subset \text{Gr}_\mathbb{R}^{(\sigma)}|\{0\}$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [BB81] Alexandre Beilinson and Joseph Bernstein. Localisation de g -modules. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 292(1):15–18, 1981.
- [BD] Alexander Beilinson and Vladimir Drinfeld. Quantization of Hitchin’s integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves. *In preparation*.
- [BL94] Arnaud Beauville and Yves Laszlo. Conformal blocks and generalized theta functions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 164(2):385–419, 1994.
- [DC87] Corrado De Concini. Equivariant embeddings of homogeneous spaces. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986)*, pages 369–377, Providence, RI, 1987. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [Gai01] D. Gaitsgory. Construction of central elements in the affine Hecke algebra via nearby cycles. *Invent. Math.*, 144(2):253–280, 2001.
- [Gin95] Victor Ginzburg. Perverse sheaves on a loop group and Langlands duality. *Preprint alg-geom/9511007*, 1995.
- [GM93] Mark Goresky and Robert MacPherson. Local contribution to the Lefschetz fixed point formula. *Invent. Math.*, 111(1):1–33, 1993.
- [KT95] Masaki Kashiwara and Toshiyuki Tanisaki. Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture for affine Lie algebras with negative level. *Duke Math. J.*, 77(1):21–62, 1995.
- [LS97] Yves Laszlo and Christoph Sorger. The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic G -bundles over curves and their sections. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 30(4):499–525, 1997.
- [Lus83] George Lusztig. Singularities, character formulas, and a q -analog of weight multiplicities. In *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, II, III (Luminy, 1981)*, pages 208–229. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1983.

- [Mat91] Toshihiko Matsuki. Orbits on flag manifolds. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990)*, pages 807–813, Tokyo, 1991. Math. Soc. Japan.
- [Mit88] Stephen A. Mitchell. Quillen’s theorem on buildings and the loops on a symmetric space. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 34(1-2):123–166, 1988.
- [MUV92] I. Mirković, T. Uzawa, and K. Vilonen. Matsuki correspondence for sheaves. *Invent. Math.*, 109(2):231–245, 1992.
- [MV00] Ivan Mirković and Kari Vilonen. Perverse sheaves on affine Grassmannians and Langlands duality. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 7(1):13–24, 2000.
- [Nad02] David Nadler. Perverse sheaves on real loop Grassmannians. *Preprint alg-geom/0202150*, 2002.
- [PS86] Andrew Pressley and Graeme Segal. *Loop groups*. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1986. Oxford Science Publications.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60637
E-mail address: `nadler@math.uchicago.edu`